# STAT 331

Marcus Chan Taught by Peter Baiha UW CS '25

# Chapter I: Introduction

B: In regression modelling, we attempt to explain or account for variation in a response variate (y) by using a model to describe the relationship between y and one or more explanatory variates (x<sub>1</sub>,x<sub>2</sub>,...)

#### SUMMARIES OF THE DATA

- A simple LR model involves:
- ① A single explanatory variate; &
  - 3 A single response variate.
- eg Overhead data example: response(y): claimed overhead (\$) explanatory (x): office size (sq.ft)
- . We can summarise the data using
  - a scatter-plot.

relationship.

Claimed overhead vs office size (n = 24)



. O'''' To get a numerical summary of the data, we can use the "sample correlation coefficient".

$$\Gamma = \frac{\Sigma(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\Sigma(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \Sigma(y_i - \bar{y})^2} = \frac{S_{xy}}{\sqrt{S_{xx}} S_{yy}}$$

Note -ISTSI and that r is unitless.

#### THE SIMPLE LR MODEL

- Bi We can describe the observed behavior of the
  - response with a model that includes both D a deterministic component that describes the
    - variation in y accounted for by the functional form of the underlying relationship between y & x; &
      - eg with the overhead data, the del. comp. is

μ= β0 + β1×.

- where  $\mu$  = the mean value of y for a given value of x.
- (2) an "error term" E that describes the random variation in y not accounted for by the underlying relationship with X.

Q Putting these together yields the simple LR (or SLR) model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$$

where

- 1) Bo = the "intercept" parameter
- ② β, = the "slope" parameter
- (i) = the (index) that denotes the observation number.

(X1,...,Xn is explanatory data; y1,...,yn is response data).

note βo+βix; is deterministic & ε is random.





- between y & x is correctly specified by the deterministic component of the model;
  - (2) errors follow a normal distribution;
  - 3 errors have a constant variance of (ie "homoskedasticity"); &
- (4) errors are independent.

#### LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION OF MODEL PARAMETERS

"" Goal: we want to find values of Bo & B, Such that for the data 4. = R. + B.X. + E,

$$g_1 = p_0 + p_1 n_1 + \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$y_n = p_0 + p_1 x_n + \frac{1}{2}n_2,$$

- the sum of squares of the errors Ze; is minimized. O' The values of Bo & Pr obtained by this procedure (denoted  $\hat{\beta}_0$  &  $\hat{\beta}_1$ ) are known as the least squares estimates" of Bo & Bi.

$$\hat{\beta}_{0} = \overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_{1} \overline{x}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{0} = \frac{\overline{\Sigma}(\kappa_{1} - \overline{x})(y_{1} - \overline{y})}{\overline{\Sigma}(\kappa_{1} - \overline{x})^{2}} = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}}.$$

Proof. We wish to minimize  $S(\boldsymbol{\mu}_0,\boldsymbol{\mu}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\boldsymbol{y}_i - (\boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_i \mathbf{x}_i)]^2.$ See that  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_0} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [y_i - (\hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_i}, x_i)]$  $\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} = -2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i [y_i - (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times_i)].$ Since we want to minimize S, we can solve  $\sigma = \frac{2\epsilon}{86}$ 1 25 = 0. The resultant solutions for Bo & B, are the desired values as required. By In R, we can get these values via > data.slr.lm ~ lm(response ~ explanatory),

#### FITTED MODEL

For the SLR model, the fitted model is

$$\hat{\mu} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times ,$$

where is the estimated mean value of y given a value of x.

#### FITTED RESIDUALS

- P. The "fitted residual" of the ith observation,
  - e;, is defined as

$$e_i = y_i - \hat{\mu}_i = y_i - (\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times_i).$$

- \* E; is a random variable in which we impose assumptions;
  - e; is the difference between the observed response & estimated mean response.
- Q' If we take the partial derivative wrt each parameter and set =0 in our least

squares procedure, we get that

$$\sum e_i = 0$$
  
 $\sum x_i e_i = 0$ .

- . These constraints allow us to calculate the remaining 2 residuals from n-2 observations;
  - so we say the fitted model is associated with n-2 degrees of freedom.

#### LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATE OF 52: 22

- ? In the normal model, we assume
  - $\varepsilon$ ;  $\stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2).$
- Th any least squares regression model, we estimate  $\sigma^2$  by dividing the sum of squares of the residuals by the degrees of freedom.
- $\hat{U}_3^{'}$  In particular, this means our estimate for  $\sigma^2$  is

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}}{n-2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \hat{\mu}_{i})^{2}}{n-2}.$$

#### \* note $E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \sigma^2$ (ie $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is unbiased).

# RESIDUAL STANDARD ERROR:

P The "residual standard error" is

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum e_i^2}{n-2}}$$

- $\dot{U}_2$   $\hat{\sigma}$  can be interpreted as the estimated std dev of the errors & measures the random variation of the response given a value for x.
- Us The smaller of is, the more the variation in y is "explained" by x, and so the better fit the model is
- By of is part of the summary R output for the fitted model:

> summary(audit.lm) Call. lm(formula = overhead ~ size) Residuals: Min 10 Median 3Q Max -36639 -12874 -1997 8642 56686 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) -27877.06 14172.00 -1.967 0.0619 . 10.88 11.610 7.47e-11 \*\*\* size 126.33 Signif. codes: 0 `\*\*\*' 0.001 `\*\*' 0.01 `\*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 `' 1 Residual standard error: 23480 on 22 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.8597, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8533 F-statistic: 134.8 on 1 and 22 DF, p-value: 7.472e-11

#### INTERPRETATION OF PARAMETER ESTIMATES

- $\vec{p}'_{2}$  we may interpret  $\hat{\beta}_{0}$  as the estimated mean value of y at x=0 only if x=0 is a relevant value and is in the range of values we used to fit the model.
  - \* never extrapolate to values of X outside the range used to fit the model.
- . B'3 Lastly, we can interpret ô as a measure of the variability of the response about the fitted line.

#### INFERENCE FOR BI

- $\ddot{\Theta}_1'$  To investigate whether there is a linear relationship between y & x in the population, we can test the hypothesis " $\beta_1 = 0$ ."
- G2 We can then either use confidence intervals or hypothesis tests to test this.
  - $\mathcal{G}_3^{\prime,}$  To do this, we need the least squares estimator of  $\mathcal{B}_1^{\prime,i}$

$$\hat{\beta}_{i} = \frac{\sum (x_{i} - \overline{x}) (Y_{i} - \overline{y})}{\sum (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

DISTRIBUTION OF B Q' we can show for the SLR model that  $\hat{\beta}_l \sim N(\beta_l, \frac{\sigma^2}{s_{xx}})$ Proof. First, note  $\hat{\beta}_{i} = \frac{\Sigma(x_{i}-\overline{x})(Y_{i}-\overline{y})}{\Sigma(x_{i}-\overline{x})(Y_{i}-\overline{y})}$  $\overline{\Sigma}(x_i - \overline{x})^2$  $= \frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x}) \gamma_i - \overline{y} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})}{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}$  $= \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x}) \gamma_i}{-\pi} \quad \forall \quad \sum (x_i - \bar{x}) = 0$  $= \sum c_i Y_i, \quad c_i = \frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}.$ Then, for the SLR model, e; it N(0,02).  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ , thus Since  $Y_i \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i, \sigma^2)$  &  $Y_i$  are ind. and so  $\hat{\beta}_1 = \sum c_i Y_i \sim Normal$  (by properties of normal). Then  $E(\hat{\beta}_i) = E(\sum_{i \in Y_i}) = \sum_{i \in Y_i} E(y_i)$  $= \sum \frac{\kappa_i - \overline{x}}{\sum (\kappa_i - \overline{x})^2} \cdot (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)$  $= \frac{\beta_0 \sum (x_i - \overline{x}) + \beta_1 \sum x_i (x_i - \overline{x})}{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}$  $= \frac{\beta_1 \sum x_i (x_i - \overline{x})}{\beta_1 \sum x_i (x_i - \overline{x})}$  $\overline{Z}(x_i - \overline{x})^2$  $= \beta_1 \sum x_i(x_i - \overline{x}) - \beta_1 \overline{x} \sum (x_i - \overline{x})$  $\Sigma(x_i-\bar{x})^2$  $= \frac{\beta_1 \sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2} = \beta_1$ Similarly,  $Var(\hat{\beta}_i) = Var(\Sigma c_i \gamma_i)$ = Z ci<sup>2</sup> Var(Yi) ·: Yis ind.  $= \sum \frac{(x_{i}-\overline{x})^{2}}{(\Sigma(x_{i}-\overline{x})^{2})^{2}}, \ \sigma^{2}$ 

 $= \frac{\left(\Sigma(x_i - \bar{x})^2\right)^2}{\left(\Sigma(x_i - \bar{x})^2\right)^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{XX}}.$ Hence  $\beta_i \sim N(\beta_i, \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{XX}})$  as required.

Q2 It follows that

$$\frac{\widehat{\beta}_{1}-\beta_{1}}{\operatorname{SE}(\widehat{\beta}_{1})} = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_{1}-\beta_{1}}{(\widehat{\sigma}/\sqrt{s_{XX}})} \sim t_{n-2}.$$

(from STAT 231/330 result).

This can be used to get t-based CIs & hypothesis tests for Bi

### DISTRIBUTION OF $\hat{\beta_0}$

- G' Similarly, we can show in a SLR model,
  - $\frac{\widehat{\beta}_{0} \sim N(\beta_{0}, \sigma^{2}(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{x}^{2}}{S_{KX}}))}{\widehat{\beta}_{0} \beta_{0}} = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_{0} \beta_{0}}{\widehat{\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\overline{x}^{2}}{S_{XX}}}} \sim t_{n-2}$

#### CI FOR BI

- $$\begin{split} & \widehat{g}'_{1} \quad A \quad (1-\gamma) \; 100 \; / \quad confidence \quad interval \quad for \quad \beta_{1} \\ & is \\ & \widehat{\beta}_{1} \pm t_{n-2, 1-\gamma_{2}} \quad SE(\widehat{\beta}_{1}), \quad SE(\widehat{\beta}_{1}) = \frac{\widehat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{s_{xx}}} \\ & t_{n-2, 1-\gamma_{2}} \quad := the \; critical \; value \; from \; a \\ & t_{n-2} \; distribution \; corresponding \; to \; a \\ & t_{n-2, 1-\gamma_{2}} \quad distribution \; corresponding \; to \; a \\ & confidence \; level \; of \; (1-\gamma) 100 \; / \; . \\ & \widehat{G}'_{2} \quad t_{n-2, 1-\gamma_{2}} \quad SE(\widehat{\beta}_{1}) \quad is \; called \; the \; ``margin \\ & of \; ervor" \; of \; the \; interval. \\ & \widehat{G}'_{3} \quad we \; can \; use \; R \; to \; calculate \; this: \\ & > \; summary \; (data.lm) \\ & \underbrace{Estimate \; Std. \; Error \; t \; value \; Pr(>|t|) \\ & (Intercept) \; -27877.06 \; 14172.00 \; -1.967 \; 0.0619 \\ & size \; 126.33 \; 10.88 \; 11.610 \; 7.47e-11 \\ & > \; t \; \leftarrow \; qt \; (1-\frac{\varphi}{2}, \; n-2) \\ & & The \; CI \; is \; then \; (26\cdot33 t \; (10\cdot88), \end{split}$$
- P<sub>4</sub> We may interpret the CI as that we are (1-9)100% confident that for
  - every additional increase of a unit of x, the mean increase of y is between (start of CI) & (end of CI).
- G<sub>5</sub> If β<sub>1</sub>=0 is <u>not</u> in the interval. Hen we say there is a significant relationship between x & y (at the (1-γ)100% confidence level).
- P6 Hypothesis test for Bi
  - 1 Ho: β1=0; HA. β1=0
    - 2 (Assuming Ho) our test statistic is
  - $t = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_{i} \beta_{i}}{SE(\widehat{\beta}_{i})} = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_{i}}{SE(\widehat{\beta}_{i})}$ (3) p-value is p=2P(T>t), T~t<sub>n-2</sub>

(+) Check if p<0.05; if yes, reject Ho.

# Chapter 2: Multiple Regression

#### MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODEL

Pri If we expand the SLR model to p explanatory variables, we obtain the multiple linear regression model:

$$\begin{array}{c} y = \chi_{\beta + \epsilon} \\ \text{where} \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & \dots & \chi_{1p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & \dots & x_{np} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}, \\ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \quad \& \quad \epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{array}$$

NORMAL MODEL

 $\dot{\mathcal{G}}^{i}$  For the normal model, where we assume  $e_i \stackrel{id}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ , we write

$$\gamma = \chi_{\beta} + \epsilon, \epsilon \sim mvN(0, \sigma^{2}I),$$

where  $Var(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 I$  is the covariance matrix of the error random vector  $\varepsilon$ .

# LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION OF B

. We wish to minimize

$$S(\beta_0, ..., \beta_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_i^2 = \sum [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_i x_{i1} + ... + \beta_p x_{ip})]^2$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_{p}} = -2 \sum x_{ip} (y_{i} - (\hat{\beta}_{0} + \hat{\beta}_{i} x_{i1} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_{p} x_{ip})) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \overleftarrow{\mathcal{O}}_{3}^{\prime} \quad \text{This yields the normal equations} \\ & n(\vec{\beta}_{o}) + \vec{\beta}_{i} \sum x_{i1} + \cdots + \vec{\beta}_{p} \sum x_{ip} = \sum y_{i} \\ & \vec{\beta}_{o} \sum x_{i1} + \vec{\beta}_{i} \sum x_{i1}^{2} + \cdots + \vec{\beta}_{p} \sum x_{i1} x_{ip}^{2} = \sum x_{i1} y_{i} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vec{\beta}_{o} \sum x_{ip} + \vec{\beta}_{i} \sum x_{i1} x_{ip} + \cdots + \vec{\beta}_{p} \sum x_{ip}^{2} = \sum x_{ip} y_{i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{\beta}_{y} \text{ we can write this as}$$

$$(x^{T}x) \hat{p} = x^{T}y$$
and so
$$\vec{p} = (x^{T}x)^{-1}(x^{T}y)$$
- note this needs  $x^{T}x$  to be invertible;  
ie full rank / all columns are linearly  
independent.  

$$\vec{\beta}_{y} \text{ Note:}$$

① The fitted line is given by

(2) The vector of fitted values is

3 The residual vector is

\* sum of squares of residuals is  $\Sigma e_i^2 = e^T e$ .

#### THE HAT MATRIX: H

B. we can express in by

$$\hat{\mu} = X\hat{\beta} = X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}y = Hy$$

where

is the "hat" matrix

- which maps the vector of response variables to the vector of fitted values.
- B Note that () H is symmetric (ie H<sup>T</sup>=H); &
  - 2 H is idempotent (ie H<sup>2</sup>=H).
- 2 We can express our residual vector e as

e= y- 
$$\hat{\mu}$$
 = y - Hy = (I-H)y

#### LEAST SQUARES ESTIMATION OF σ2

 $\ddot{\mathcal{G}}$  The least squares estimate of  $\sigma^2$  for a p

explanatory variable multiple regression model with (pti) parameters is

$$\hat{\sigma}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}}{n - (p+1)}$$

where df = n-(p+1).

# RESIDUAL STANDARD ERROR

Bi The residual standard error is thus

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum e_i^2}{n - (p + i)}}$$

#### MLE FOR B

¨β¨ The MLE for β is equivalent to the least squares estimate; ie the likelihood function

$$L(\beta_{0}, \dots, \beta_{n} | y_{i}, \dots, y_{n}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(y_{i})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{(y_{i}; \gamma_{i})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}}, \quad \mu_{i} = \beta_{0} + \sum_{j} \beta_{j} \times_{ij}$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^{2})^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum(y_{i}; \gamma_{i})^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right)$$

or equivalently the log likelihood function

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta_{0}, ..., \beta_{n} | y_{1},..., y_{n}) = c - \frac{\sum_{i}^{c} (y_{i} - (\beta_{0} + \beta_{i} x_{i1} + ... + \beta_{p} x_{ip}))^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}$$

is maximized at B=(Bo,..., Bp) that minimizes

$$\sum \varepsilon_i^2 = \sum (y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{ij} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip}))^2.$$

#### GAUSS-MARKON THEOREM & BLUE

P: The least squares estimator

is the "best linear unbiased estimator" (BLUE) of B.

B2 More formally, if we consider the model given by

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$
,  $E(\varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $Var(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2 I$ 

then amongst all unbiased linear estimators  $\hat{\beta}^* = m^* \gamma$ , the least squares estimator  $\hat{\beta} = M\gamma$  has the "smallest" variance; ie

$$Var(\hat{\beta}^*) = Var(\hat{\beta}) + \sigma^2(M^*-M)(M^*-M)^T$$

where (m<sup>\*</sup>-m)(m<sup>\*</sup>-m)<sup>T</sup> is positive semidefinite.

- A is "positive definite" if a<sup>T</sup>Aa 20 for any vector a.

DISTRIBUTION OF B We show that  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim M V N (\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 (\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1})$ where MUN is the multivariate normal distribution. Proof. First, we have  $\gamma = \chi_{\beta} + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim mvn(o, \sigma^2 I).$ Thus, by properties of MVN,  $\forall \sim MVN(X\beta, \sigma^2I).$ Hence  $\hat{\mathbf{B}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$  also follows a MVN distribution. Next, see that  $E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = E((\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{x})^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{y})$ =  $(x^T x)^{-1} x^T ECY$  $= (\chi^{T}\chi)^{-1}\chi^{T}(\chi\beta)$ = B-Ther  $Var(\hat{\beta}) = Var((X^T X)^T X^T Y)$ =  $(X^T X)^{-1} X^T V_{\alpha r}(Y) [(X^T X)^{-1} X^T ]^T$ (Var(AY) = AVar(Y)AT)  $= \sigma^{2} (x^{T} x)^{-1} x^{T} [(x^{T} x)^{-1} x^{T} ]^{T}$  $= \sigma^{2} (X^{T} X)^{-1} X^{T} X (X^{T} X)^{-1}$  $= \sigma^{2} (X^{T} X)^{-1}$ which gives us the desired result.  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{2}$  The marginal distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_{j}$  is thus  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{j} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}, \sigma^{*}(\boldsymbol{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{x})_{jj}^{-1}) \quad \forall j=0,...,p$ is we also have that  $\frac{\widehat{\beta}_{j} - \beta_{j}}{s_{\mathsf{E}}(\widehat{\beta}_{j})} \sim t_{n-(p+1)}, \quad s_{\mathsf{E}}(\widehat{\beta}_{j}) = \widehat{\sigma}\sqrt{(x^{\mathsf{T}}x)_{j}^{-1}}$  $\dot{\vec{b}}'_{ij}$  Also note that  $Cov(\hat{\vec{p}}_{ij}, \hat{\vec{p}}_{j}) = \sigma^2(x^T x)^{-1}_{ij}$ INTERPRETATION OF \$;  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{i}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{j}$  is the estimated mean change in the response associated with a change of holding all whilst one unit of × other variables constant.

CIS FOR  $\beta_j$   $\beta_i^{r}$  A (1- $\alpha_i$ ) 100 ?! CI for  $\beta_j$  is  $\hat{\beta}_j \pm t_{n-(p+1), 1-\alpha_{1/2}} SE(\hat{\beta}_j)$   $\hat{\beta}_2^{r}$  If  $\beta_j=0$  is not in the CI, then there is a significant linear relationship between  $g \notin x_j$ . **HYPOTHESIS TESTS FOR \beta\_j**   $\hat{\Theta}^{r}$  Hypothesis test for  $\beta_j$ :  $\hat{\Theta}$  Hypothesis test for  $\beta_j$ :  $\hat{\Theta}$  Ho:  $\beta_j=0$ ; H<sub>n</sub>:  $\beta_j \pm 0$   $\hat{\Theta}$   $t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)}$   $\hat{\Theta}$  p-value = 2P(T>t),  $T \sim t_{n-(p+1)}$  $\hat{\Psi}$  Reject Ho if p < 0.05.

#### MULTI-COLLINEARITY

- or more explanatory variables exhibit "multicollinearity" if there exist strong linear relationships between them.
- G This
  - () increases the variances (and thus std errors) of the associated parameter estimators; &
  - 2) leads to wide / imprecise CIS & inaccurate conclusions from hypothesis tests.

#### VARIANCE INFLATION FACTOR / VIF

- "variance inflation factor" is ° 🖓 The measure of multicollinearity associated with some explanatory variable ×j. °C,
  - How to calculate VIF for xj:
    - ① Regress x; onto all other x's; ie fit models for X; against each other x;
  - Then

$$VIF_{j} = \frac{1}{1 - R_{j}^{2}},$$

where R;2 is the coefficient of determination of the model fit with

x; as the response.

Pz Generally, we remove x; from the model if VIF > 10 <=> R > 90.

$$\dot{O}_{y}$$
 In R, we can do  
> Im (x~ x<sub>1</sub> + x<sub>2</sub> + ... + x<sub>n</sub>)  
) other exp.  
Variable we variables  
are testing

and check the multiple R-squared value.

#### CI FOR Mnew

. Pi Idea: We may want to use our fitted model to estimate the mean response of a new unit in the population 🛱 In particular. Ânew = × new β. B' Then, we show that

$$\hat{\mu}_{new} \sim N(x_{new}^{T}\beta, \sigma^{2}x_{new}^{T}(x^{T}x)^{T}x_{new})$$

Proof. Recall

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim \text{mvN}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{x})^T).$ Then  $\hat{\mu}_{new} = \stackrel{T}{x_{new}} \hat{\beta}$  must also follow a normal distribution. see that

$$E(\hat{\mu}_{new}) = E(x_{new}^{T} \hat{p})$$
$$= x_{new}^{T} E(\hat{p})$$
$$= x_{new}^{T} \hat{p}$$

$$Var(\hat{\mu}_{new}) = Var(x_{new}^{T} \hat{\beta})$$
$$= x_{new}^{T} Var(\hat{\beta}) \times_{new}$$
$$= \sigma^{2} x_{new}^{T} (x^{T} x)^{-1} \times_{new}.$$

$$\hat{\mu}_{new} \pm t$$
  
 $n-(p+1), 1-\frac{\gamma}{2} \hat{\sigma}_{x} x_{new}^{T} (x^{T}x)^{-1} x_{new}$ 

#### PREDICTION INTERVAL FOR

#### Inew

- P<sup>\*</sup> Idea: We may also wish to use our fitted model to predict the value of the response of a new unit of the population.
- D<sup>n</sup>/2 Then, note the variance of g<sup>n</sup>ew
   is composed of 2 sources of variation:
  - () the variation associated with the parameter estimators; &
  - (2) the variance σ<sup>2</sup> associated with a random response.
- By Thus our total variation is

$$\hat{y}_{new} = t_{n-(p+1), 1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \hat{\sigma} \sqrt{1 + x_{new}^{T}(X^{T}X)^{-1}} x_{new}$$

#### CONFIDENCE & PREDICTION BANDS FOR THE SLR MODEL

model, the narrower the interval.

#### MODELLING CATEGORICAL EXPLANATORY VARIABLES

 $\mathcal{D}_1^{\mathcal{I}}$  We can code categorical explanatory variables using indicator variables that take on values of 0 or 1. eq  $\chi_1 = \mathbb{I}[A = a_1], \quad \chi_2 = \mathbb{I}[A = a_2]$ 

 $\mathbf{I} = \text{the indicator function.}$ 

- · 🛱 In particular, if X is a categorical variable that has & distinct values a.....,a, we can use the model

 $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\beta_0} + \hat{\beta_1} \times_1 + \dots + \hat{\beta_{\ell-1}} \times_{\ell-1},$ 

where 
$$x_i = II[X = a_i]$$
.

X= a0.

-  $(x_1, \dots, x_{\ell-1}) = (0, \dots, 0)$  corresponds with

<sup>(3)</sup> Then, each β<sub>i</sub> corresponds to the difference in the estimated mean value of the response where X=a<sub>i</sub> relative to where X = a<sub>i</sub>.

#### INFERENCE FOR PARAMETERS ASSOCIATED WITH INDICATOR VARIABLES

- $\dot{\vec{P}}_1^i$  To test whether there is a difference in  $\hat{\mu}$  between data where  $x_i=1$  vs.  $x_i=0$ , we can use the following hypothesis test:
  - 1 Ho: Bi=0; Hg: Bito
  - We get t, p from the "summary" output from the model fit (ie lm);
  - 3 If pc0.05, we reject Ho.
- P2 To test whether there is a difference

in  $\hat{\mu}$  between data where  $x_i = 1$  vs.  $x_j = 1$ , we can use the following hypothesis test:

We can derive

$$\widehat{\beta_i} - \widehat{\beta_j} \sim N(\underline{P_i} - \underline{P_j}, \sigma^2((x^T x)_{ii}^{-1} + (x^T x)_{jj}^{-1} - 2(x^T x)_{ij}^{-1}))$$

3 Thus we get

$$t = \frac{(\widehat{\beta_i} - \widehat{\beta_j})}{s \mathcal{E}(\widehat{\beta_i} - \widehat{\beta_j})}, \quad P = 2P(T > t), \ T \sim t_{n-(p+1)}$$

#### ORTHOGONAL X MATRIX DESIGNS

- <sup>1</sup>G<sup>1</sup><sub>1</sub> We may wish to model categorical variables in a way that creates an orthogonal X matrix, thus producing independent parameter estimators.
  - eg Suppose we had 3 categoriaal variables  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ , each with 2 values 0 g ( . We can define

This

Note the columns of X are orthogonal, and in particular

$$(X^{T}X) = gI_{q}, (X^{T}X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{g}I_{q}, \text{ where}$$

In is the 4x4 identity matrix.

Hence  $\sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} = Var(\beta^2)$  is diagonal, indicating independent parameter estimators for the normal model.

- $\ddot{B}_2^{\prime}$  In particular, when comparing one level to another in X for a given factor, it corresponds to an estimated mean change in the response of  $2\hat{\beta}_1^{\prime}$ .
  - since parameter estimators are independent, they are unaffected by inclusion/exclusion of other variables
  - so we do not have to account for other Variables when interpreting the parameter estimates associated with a given factor.

#### ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)

 $\mathcal{G}_1^c$  We can express the total sum of squares of the observations  $y_i$  as

$$SS(T_{ob}) = \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = \sum (\mu_i - \overline{y})^2 + \underbrace{\Sigma(y_i - \mu_i)^2}_{SS(Reg)} + \underbrace{\Sigma(y_i - \mu_i)^2}_{SS(Res)}$$

- () The "regression sum of square: <u>SS(Reg)</u> is the Variation explained by the model; &
- (2) The "residual sum of squares" <u>SS(Res)</u> is the variation in the response left unexplained by the model.
- B' In ANOVA methods of inference, we draw conclusions about the relative fit of models by comparing SS(reg) & SS(res).
- By The greater SS(reg) is compared to SS(res), the better the model fit.

#### COEFFICIENT OF DETERMINATION/ MULTIPLE R-SQUARED : R<sup>2</sup>

"B" The "coefficient of determination" is

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{SS(Res)}{SS(Tot)}$$

\$\vec{F}\_2 R^2 measures the proportion of the variation in the response explained by the model.

#### F-TEST FOR MODEL PARAMETERS: $B_1 = \cdots = B_P = 0$

B<sup>2</sup> Idea: To test if a relationship exists between the response at least one of the explanatory variates, we can use a E-tost.

- $\bigcirc H_0 \cdot \beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_p = 0; H_A \cdot \exists_j s + \beta_j \neq 0$
- 2 Test statistic:

$$F = \frac{SS(Reg)/p}{SS(Reg)/(n-p-1)} = \frac{MS(Reg)}{MS(Reg)}$$

- ③ Under Ho, F has a F distribution with p, n-p-1 degrees of freedom.
- The F-test statistic & p-value are provided on the last line of the `summary` output for 2m.
- S we reject the if pcoos.

#### ANOVA TABLE

Q' We summarise the test H<sub>0</sub>:β<sub>1</sub>=…=βp=0

in an ANOVA table:

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum e_i^2}{n-(p+1)}} = \sqrt{\frac{SS(Res)}{n-(p+1)}}$$
$$\Rightarrow SS(Res) = (n-(p+1))\hat{\sigma}^2$$

#### ADDITIONAL SUM OF SQUARES

B' Consider the "full" model of

and the "reduced" model that reflects the restrictions imposed by  $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$ ,  $k \le p$ , ie

- ie "After accounting for β1,..., βu, does ≥1 of βut1,..., βρ account for significant voriotion in y?"
- $\dot{\mathcal{Q}}_2^{2}$  To determine which model is better, we can examine

which is the difference in the variation explained by the full & reduced models.

$$F = \frac{(SS(Res)_{red} - SS(Res)_{full}) / df_{red} - df_{full}}{SS(Res)_{full} / df_{full}}$$

# is In R, we can use the anova function to do this.

> anova(audit.red.lm,audit.full.lm)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: overhead ~ col + clients
Model 2: overhead ~ size + age + col + clients
Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
1 21 4954374034
2 19 3901347198 2 1.053e+09 2.5642 0.1033

· θ΄ to test Ho: βι\*····· βp=0 is just an additional sum of squares test where our reduced model is

$$\gamma = \beta_0 + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim N^{(0)}, \sigma^2).$$

·¨G; Ψ<sub>T</sub> Similarly, we can also test Ho:βj=0 using the additional sum of squares test statistic

$$F = \frac{SS(Res)_{red} - SS(Res)_{full}}{SS(Res)_{full} / df_{full}}$$

where our reduced model is just the full model with Xj omitted & under Ho.

$$F \sim F_{1,p}$$
.  
(Note  $P(1t|_{V} > 1t|) = P(F_{1,V} > t^{2})$ .)

#### ADDITIONAL SUM OF SQUARES & CATEGORICAL VARIABLES

. B' Suppose we have a model

$$\gamma = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \varepsilon$$

where  $x_i = II[A = a_i]$ , where A is a categorical variable.

 $\dot{\beta}_2^{\prime\prime}$  To test  $H_0: \beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$ , we can use the reduced model under  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta^2$ ; ie

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma' &= \beta_0 + \beta^* (x_1 + x_2) + \varepsilon \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta^* x^* + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where x = II[A=a, or A=a].

B' We can then use the additional sum of squares statistic & proceed as before.

#### GENERAL LINEAR HYPOTHESIS

""The "general linear hypothesis" is in the form

Ho : AB = O

where AER<sup>(x(p+1))</sup> describes the l linear constraints on the full model as described by Ho

Q' In particular, we can use the additional sum of squares test statistic to test Ho; under Ho;

$$F = \frac{(SS(Res)_{red} - SS(Res)_{full}) / (df_{red} - df_{full})}{SS(Res)_{full} / df_{full}} \sim f_{df_{red}} - df_{full}, df_{full}$$

eq Ho: B1=B2=B3=B4=D can be expressed as

| 40: |   | $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_{0} \\ \beta_{1} \\ \beta_{2} \\ \beta_{3} \\ \beta_{4} \\ \beta_{3} \end{pmatrix}$ | = ( 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
|-----|---|--|---|
|     | A | - F  | °                                       |

#### ASSESSING MODEL ADEQUACY / RESIDUAL ANALYSIS

"&" Idea: We can assess the "model adequacy"

- (ie the validity of the model assumptions)
  - by examining the fitted residuals e= y-  $\hat{\mu}$ .

#### RESIDUAL PLOTS

ig's we can plot the residuals e; against the fitted values μ;.

- $\dot{B_2}$  If the model assumptions hold, then e; and  $\hat{\mu}$ ; should be uncorrelated.
- B' Thus, if the model assumptions hold, we should see no observable pattern in the plot.

So these are not valid:



#### da PLOTS

- Q<sup>\*</sup> In a QQ plot, we plot the ordered residuals e<sub>(1)</sub> against the expected ordered values E(Z<sub>c1</sub>), Z<sub>1</sub>~ N(0,1).
- B' We use **QQ** plots to assess the assumption of normal errors.
- B<sup>r</sup><sub>3</sub> In particular, if the errors (and hence residuals) are from a normal distribution, then e<sub>ci</sub>) should be proportional to E(2<sub>ci</sub>)], so the plat should exhibit a straight line relationship.

#### VARIANCE STABILIZING TRANSFORMATIONS

Pi "Variance stabilizing transformations" are transformations to the response and possibly some of the explanatory variates to improve model adequacy / validity of the model assumptions.

These are useful when we can write

 $\sigma^2 = f(\mu).$ 

ġ.

#### PROPERTIES OF THE RESIDUALS

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \hline \\ & & \\ \hline \\ \\ & \\ \hline \\ \\ & \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline$$

Vote  
() 
$$Var(e_i) = \sigma^2(1-h_{ii});$$
  
- residuals have non-constant variance  
()  $Cov(e_i, e_j) = -\sigma^2 h_{jk}, j \neq k$   
- residuals are not independent  
- result of constraint  $\Sigma e_i = 0$  in least  
squares estimation

#### STUDENTIZED RESIDUALS

"Pi" For a random variable X, the "studentized"

Version of X is  

$$Y = \frac{X - \mu}{\widehat{\sigma}}$$

where  $\mu$  is the mean and  $\widehat{\sigma}$  is an estimate of the standard deviation.

$$d_i = \frac{e_i}{\widehat{\sigma} \sqrt{1 - h_{ii}}}$$

which is ~ N(0,1) for large n.

#### EXTREME RESPONSE VALUES / OUTLIERS

B. An "outlier" is an observation for

which  $e_i = y_i - \hat{\mu}_i$  is extreme relative

- to the other residuals.
- B<sup>s</sup> In particular, we can consider an observation an outlier if

ldi:1 > 2.5.

Bz Causes of outliers:

- ① Typos / misrecording of data;
- ② Values of associated potential explanatory Variables not included in the model;
- 3 Random Variability.

#### LEVERAGE

"B", "Leveroge" is a measure used to identify those observations whose set of explanatory variables is extreme relative to other observations.

leverage = 
$$h_{ii} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum (x_j - \bar{x})^2}$$

and so we can view the leverage as the weight of yis contribution to the fitted value û;.

# IDENTIFYING HIGH LEVERAGE CASES

- as hii →1, Var(ei) →0

- so high leverage observations' leverage is close to 0.
- . B2 Instead, we can just plot the leverage values.

- in R, we can use "hatvalues".

·B<sup>2</sup>; We say observation i has high leverage if

$$h_{ii} > 2\bar{h} = \frac{2(p+1)}{n}$$

#### INFLUENTIAL OBSERVATIONS

P: We say an observation is "influential" ;f its removal from the line fit changes the fitted line (ie parameter estimates) considerably.

#### IDENTIFYING INFLUENTIAL OBSERVATIONS

'B' We can quantify influence using "Cook's distance":

$$D_{i} = \frac{(\hat{\mu} - \mu_{(i)})^{T}(\hat{\mu} - \mu_{(i)})}{\hat{\sigma}^{2}(p+1)} = \frac{h_{i}}{1 - h_{ii}} \cdot \frac{d_{i}^{2}}{p+1}$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is the estimate of the variance from the model fit with the ith observation included.

B' we say an observation is strongly influential if



#### MODEL SELECTION

👸 Recall Hhat

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{SS(Res)}{n-(p+i)}}$$

- Of the remove variables from the model, both SSCRes) & the df increase.
- B': If the increase in SSCRes) is small relative to the degrees of freedom gained, then & will decrease, resulting in a more precise model.
- By This is often the case for variates with large associated p-values.

#### ITERATIVE MODEL SELECTION

G' Idea: build a reduced model by adding/removing variables one at a time, & refitting at each iteration until no more variables can be addea/ removed.

#### BACKWARD ELIMINATION

- g' Idea:
  - Fit all p variables;
  - (2) Remove voriable with largest p-value greater than some threshold (eg 9=0.1)
  - 3 Refit with remaining variables;
  - Prepeat 0-Q until no more variables can be removed.

#### FORWARD SELECTION

#### g. Idea:

- ① Fit all p single variable SLR models;
- (2) Select variable with smallest p-value <9;
- (3) Fit the p-1 2-variable models that include the variable in (2);
- Prepeat 0-3, continuing to add variables at each iteration until no more variables can be added.

#### STEPWISE SELECTION

#### g Idea:

- 1) Begin with forward selection;
- D Employ both forward selection & backward elimination at each Step until no more variables can be added/removed.

## ADJUSTED R-SQUARED

( Motivation Recoll

Note R<sup>2</sup> will always increase when more Variables are added regardless of whether the variables account for a significant amount of the variation of the response.

- $\dot{B}_2^{r}$  Hence, we <u>cannot</u> use  $R^2$  to compare model subsets with differing amounts
  - of parameters.
- B's Thus, we can use the "adjusted" R-squared":

By Rad, will only increase if the variation accounted for by the added variables increases proportionally more than the degrees of freedom decrease through the model parameters.

$$R^{2}_{ad_{j}} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{\text{ss(tot)}/(n-1)}$$
So a large  $R^{2}_{ad_{j}}$  implies a small  $\hat{\sigma}$ 
and  $y.y.$ 

# MALLOWS' CP

Q For a k-variable model (k=1,...,p), we define

$$C_{p} = \frac{SS(Res)_{k}}{MS(Res)_{p}} + 2(k+1) - n$$

- By Note smaller Cp values relative to the number of variables are associated with More suitable models.
- is preferred over the

```
full model if
```

```
Cp & h+1.
```

|  | the   | -1   | eaps  |   | functio  | ۸,  | which  | selects   |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| he   | best  | mod  | ei  | fro   | m al   | ( p   | ossible  | subsets   |
| ased   | 04  | the  | R   | 2<br>=d;  | & C  | <mark>م</mark> (  | interia  | •   |
| > leap   | s(house[,   | -9],va   | lue,met   | thod=c(   | ('adjr'),n   | nbest=2,r   | names=name:  | s(house[-9]))   |
| siz  | e stories   | baths  | rooms   | age   | lotsize b  | basement  | garage   |   |
| 1 TRU<br>1 FALS  | E FALSE<br>E FALSE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | FALSE   | FALSE  | FALSE   | FALSE  |   |
| 2 TRU  | E FALSE   | FALSE  | FALSE   | TRUE  | FALSE  | FALSE   | FALSE  |   |
| 2 TRU  | E TRUE  | FALSE  | FALSE   | FALSE   | FALSE  | FALSE   | FALSE  |   |
| 3 TRU<br>3 TRU   | E FALSE<br>E FALSE  | FALSE  | FALSE   | TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | TRUE   |   |
| 4 TRU  | E FALSE   | FALSE  | FALSE   | TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | TRUE   |   |
| 4 TRU  | E TRUE  | FALSE  | FALSE   | TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | FALSE  |   |
| 5 TRU  | E TRUE  | FALSE  | TRUE  | TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | TRUE   |   |
| 6 TRU  | E TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | TRUE   |   |
| 6 TRU  | E TRUE  | FALSE  | TRUE  | TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | TRUE   |   |
| 7 TRU  | E TRUE  | TRUE   | TRUE  | TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE   | TRUE   |   |
| 8 TRU  | E TRUE<br>E TRUE  | TRUE   | TRUE  | TRUE  | TRUE   | TRUE  | TRUE   |   |
| \$adjr2  |   |  |   |   |  |   |  |   |
| [1]0.5   | 49407 0.3   | 17135  | 0.57283   | 33 0.56   | 50069 0.61   | 8481 0.5  | 93374 0.6  | 44686 0.6251132   |
| TRUE   | FALSE F<br>FALSE F  | ALSE I   | ALSE H  | FALSE   | FALSE  | FALSE   | FALSE  |   |
| TRUE   | FALSE F   | ALSE I   | ALSE  | TRUE<br>TRUE  | TRUE   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE   |   |
| TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | FALSE F<br>FALSE F<br><b>TRUE F</b><br>TRUE   | ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>TRUE I   | ALSE<br>ALSE<br>ALSE<br>ALSE  | TRUE<br>TRUE<br><b>TRUE</b><br>TRUE   | FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   |   |
| 8 TRUE<br>1 TRUE<br>5 TRUE<br>5 TRUE<br>7 TRUE   | FALSE F<br>FALSE F<br><b>TRUE F</b><br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE   | TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TRUE  | TRUE<br>TRUE<br><b>TRUE</b><br>TRUE<br>TRUE                                     | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   |   |
| TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>CP   | FALSE F<br>FALSE F<br><b>TRUE</b><br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE                                    | FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   |   |
| TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | FALSE F<br>FALSE F<br>TRUE F<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE  | ALSE 1<br>ALSE 1<br>ALSE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>55680  | TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE  | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE                                    | FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>7332 5.09                                  | 96306 7.027277  |
| TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>(Cp<br>1] 27.  | FALSE F<br>FALSE F<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21   | ALSE 1<br>VALSE 1<br>VALSE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>   | TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>144146<br>has                           | FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>10 3.32   | PALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE                               | 26306 7.027277<br>Yolve,                                      |
| a TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | FALSE F<br>FALSE F<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21   | ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>   | ALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>144146<br>has                           | FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>Q  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TO 3.32<br>higher  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>R <sup>2</sup><br>bud      | 76306 7.027277<br>Yolue,<br>H 2                               |
| 3 TRUE<br>4 TRUE<br>5 TRUE<br>5 TRUE<br>6 TRUE<br>8 TRUE<br>8 TRUE<br>8 TRUE<br>9 TRUE | FALSE F<br>FALSE F<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21   | ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE<br>   | TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>07 10.:   | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>144146<br>has<br>re<br>mode             | FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>4.13727<br>A   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>10 3.32<br>higher<br>higher<br>seters;   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>7332 5.00<br>R <sup>2</sup><br>bud<br>high         | 16306 7.027277<br>Value,<br>t 2-<br>ver Radi                  |
| TRUE   | FALSE E<br>FALSE E<br>FALSE E<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21  | ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>MASS   | TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>144146<br>has<br>2<br>c                         | FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>4.13727<br>A.  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TO 3.32<br>higher<br>ieters;   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>7332 5.00<br>R <sup>2</sup><br>bud<br>high         | value,<br>ter Radi  |
| TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | FALSE E<br>FALSE E<br>FALSE E<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21  | ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>   | TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>144146<br>has<br>re<br>node             | FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>4.13727<br>A A   | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TO 3.32<br>higher<br>higher<br>setes:  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>7332 5.01<br>7332 5.01<br>R <sup>2</sup><br>bud    | volue,<br>t Radie<br>er Radi                                  |
| TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | FALSE E<br>FALSE E<br>FALSE E<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21  | ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>ALSE I<br>TRUE I<br>TRUE<br>   | ALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>07 10.:   | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>144146<br>has<br>re<br>mode     | FADE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>4.13727<br>A ()<br>PACAM  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TO 3.32<br>higher<br>teles;  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>RCE<br>RC<br>Migh                          | value,<br>t 2-<br>er 2-<br>edi                                |
| TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | FALSE E<br>FALSE E<br>FALSE E<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21  | Malse 1<br>Alse 1<br>Alse 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>  | ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>model<br>model   | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>tRUE<br>has<br>12<br>mode               | FLUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>4.13727<br>A 1<br>Parameter<br>Kong<br>Volue  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TO 3.32<br>higher<br>teles;  | PALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>R <sup>2</sup><br>but<br>Nigh      | value,<br>t<br>t<br>er R <sup>2-</sup><br>er R <sup>2-</sup>  |
| * TRUE<br>* * TRUE<br>* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *  | FALSE F<br>FALSE F<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE  | m<br>ALSE 1<br>ALSE 1<br>ALS | ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>ralse<br>model<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model)<br>(model | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>has<br>fe<br>mode                       | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>a 1<br>porcom<br>yolue  | FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>0 3.32<br>higher<br>refers;  | PALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>7332 5.00<br>R <sup>2</sup><br>but<br>high | value,<br>t<br>ter R <sup>2</sup><br>er R <sup>2</sup><br>adj |
| TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | PALSE F<br>PALSE F<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21<br>150656 21<br>150656<br>1506566 21<br>1506566<br>150656<br>150656<br>1506566<br>1506566<br>1506566<br>1506566<br>1506566<br>1506566<br>150656 | m<br>alse i<br>alse i<br>als | ALSE<br>ALSE<br>ALSE<br>ALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE  | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>144146<br>has<br>12<br>has<br>is<br>of  | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>4.13727<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A<br>A   | PALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>PALSE<br>TRUE<br>0 3.32<br>higher<br>refers:   | PALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>7332 5.0<br>R <sup>2</sup><br>but<br>Nigh          | value,<br>t 2<br>wee Radi<br>ween Kj,<br>response             |
| i TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE   | PALSE F<br>PALSE F<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>150656 21<br>150656 21<br>15066      | M<br>ALSE 1<br>ALSE 1<br>ALSE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>TRUE 1<br>COMMANNE 1<br>COMMANN   | ALSE<br>ALSE<br>ALSE<br>ALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE  | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>trUE<br>has<br>te<br>of | TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>4.13727<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13727<br>A<br>4.13777<br>A<br>4.137777<br>A<br>4.1377777<br>A<br>4.13777777777777777777777777777777777777 | PALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>to 3.32<br>TRUE<br>to 3.332<br>TRUE<br>to 3.332<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>to 3.332<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE | PALSE<br>FALSE<br>FALSE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRUE<br>TRU               | value,<br>t R <sup>2</sup><br>tween Kj,<br>rueen Kj,          |

#### FITTING LINEAR MODELS TO TIME SERIES DATA

- "Ö" "Time series data" is data eyet, where "Ye denotes the value of the
  - response at time t (t=1,2,...).
- B2 Variation in time series is caused by:
  - Seasonal repeat regularly over fixed intervals
    - (2) "Trend" persistent increase/decrease in µ<sub>t</sub> as t increases
    - 3 "Cyclical" oscillations repeating over irregular intervals
  - () "Irregular/random" other sources of veriation unaccounted by ()-().

# AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION / ACF

#### B: The "auto-correlation function" is

$$r_{k} = \frac{\sum_{t=k+1}^{\infty} (y_{t} - \bar{y})(y_{t-k} - \bar{y})}{\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (y_{t} - \bar{y})^{2}}$$

and quantifies the degree of similarity between Yt & the "lagged" version of itself, Yt.k.

- Ö. Note
  - O (rul El Vh; &
  - 3 ru is unitless.

#### CORRELOGRAM



#### SEASONAL COMPONENT

Q<sup>2</sup> Idea: We can add model parameters to account for the seasonal variation in the data, which might exacerbate any trends.

#### TREND COMPONENT

"Ö' Idea: We can account for the trend component in the model by adding appropriate time variables (eg t,t<sup>2</sup>, etc)

# Chapter 3: Logistic Regression

| El men subbase and martin in               |
|--|
| a hinary response variable                 |
|  |
| 1 1 the absorbing is successful            |
| V. s. c                                    |
| () otherwise                               |
|  |
|  |
| where $P(Y_i = 1) = n_i$ .                 |
| $F(Y_i) = \pi_i$ using                     |
| by we can model hi-                        |
| x  |
| π.= e <sup></sup>                          |
|  |
| - T  |
| $(=) \log(\frac{\pi_i}{1}) = \chi_i \beta$ |
| J (-π; · · ·                               |
|  |
| i the second equation                      |

where the LHS of the called the logit function.

. G<sup>1</sup><sub>3</sub> In R, we can fit a logistic regression

model using

> glm(y~ x1+... + xp, family= binomial(link='logit')

# INTERPRETATION OF LOGISTIC REGRESSION PARAMETER ESTIMATES

By manipulating the equation

$$(\log(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}) = x^{T}\beta = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{1} + \dots + \beta_{p}x_{p}$$

we can get

$$e^{\beta_{j}} = \frac{\pi_{x_{j+1}} / (1 - \pi_{x_{j+1}})}{\pi / (1 - \pi)}$$

where  $\Pi$  is the value of  $E(Y_i)$ after increasing one unit of  $X_j$ .

 $\ddot{\mathcal{G}}_2'$  Thus, we can interpret this "odds ratio" as the multiplicative change in the odds of success for a change in one unit of  $\kappa_{j,r}$ after accounting for the other variables.

#### DISTRIBUTION OF B

<sup>'</sup><sup>Ö</sup> Let β<sup>'</sup><sub>j</sub> be the MLE of β<sub>j</sub><sup>\*</sup>. Then, for sufficiently large n, we can show that

$$\left(\frac{\widehat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}}{Sec(\widehat{\beta}_{j})}\right)^{2} \sim \mathcal{X}_{i}^{2}$$

$$(z) = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_{j}-\beta_{j}}{Sec(\widehat{\beta}_{j})} \sim N(0,1)$$

Ho: 
$$\beta_j = 0$$
 TEST  
 $\vdots_1^{ij}$  To test Ho:  $\beta_j = 0$ , we can use  
the "Wold test statistic"  
 $\boxed{\frac{1}{2} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{SE(\hat{\beta}_j)}}$   
which is ~N(0,1) under Ho.  
 $\vdots_2^{ij}$  Note the test statistic &  
p-values associated with this  
are given in the 'summary'  
output of the glm model in  
R.  
 $\vdots_3^{ij}$  Hence, a  $100(1-q)^{ij}$ . CI for  $\beta_j$   
is

$$\widehat{\beta_{j}} \stackrel{\pm}{=} \overline{z_{l-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} SE(\widehat{\beta_{j}})$$
id a 100(1-r)<sup>1</sup>. CI for the

odds ratio is

$$e^{\hat{\beta}_{j} \pm \hat{\tau}_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{SE}(\hat{\beta}_{j})}$$

#### DEVIANCE / D



where

- () R(fitted) is the log-likelihood of the fitted model (with MLE  $\widehat{\Pi}_i$ ); &
- ③ l(saturated) is the log-likelihood of the saturated model, the hypothetical model for which p+1=n (with MLE Ti;=y;).
- B's In particular, the deviance for the binary response logistic regression model is

$$D = -2 \sum (y_i \log(\frac{\hat{\pi}_i}{1-\hat{\pi}_i}) + \log(1-y_i))$$

## MODEL COMPARISON - DEVIANCE

To test whether either x , ..., x are 8. associated with a positive response of y after accounting for the other variates, we can use the test

Dur full model is

and our reduced model is

Or If we assume the is true (in the reduced model gives a better fit). approximate then we can

$$D_{red} - D_{full} = 2\log\left(\frac{L(fitted)_{full}}{L(fitted)_{red}}\right) \\ \sim \chi^2_{df_{red} - df_{full}}$$

#### MODEL COMPARISON - AKIAKE INFORMATION CRITERION / AIC

| 8, | The "AIC"   | s defined  | as      |            |
|----|-------------|------------|---------|------------|
|    | AIC = 2(p   | +1) - 22() | fitted) |            |
| Ś  | The smaller | the AIC,   | the     | better the |

fit of the model.